arXiv:cond-mat/0603607v2 [cond-mat.other] 24 Mar 2006

Enhancement of variation of fundamental constants in ultracold atom and molecule systems near Feshbach resonances

Cheng Chin
James Franck Institute and Physics Department, The University of Chicago, IL 60637

V.V. Flambaum
School of Physics, The University of New South Wales, Sydney NSW 2052, Australia

(Dated: February 6, 2008)

Scattering length, which can be measured in Bose-Einstein condensate and Feshbach molecule experiments, is extremely sensitive to the variation of fundamental constants, in particular, the electron-to-proton mass ratio (m_e/m_p or m_e/Λ_{QCD}, where Λ_{QCD} is the QCD scale). Based on single- and two-channel scattering model, we show how the variation of the mass ratio propagates to the scattering length. Our results suggest that variation of m_e/m_p on the level of $10^{-11} \sim 10^{-14}$ can be detected near a narrow magnetic or an optical Feshbach resonance by monitoring the scattering length on the 1% level. Derived formulae may also be used to estimate the isotopic shift of the scattering length.

PACS numbers: 06.20.Jr , 32.80Cy , 34.50.-s , 32.80.Pj, 03.75.Nt

Theories unifying gravity with other interactions suggest a possibility of temporal and spatial variation of the fundamental constants of nature (see e.g. review [1] where the theoretical models and results of measurements are presented). There are hints for the variation of the fine structure constant, $\alpha = e^2/\hbar c$, strength constant of the strong interaction and fundamental masses in Big Bang nucleosynthesis, quasar absorption spectra and Oklo natural nuclear reactor data (see e.g.[2, 3, 4, 5]) . However, a majority of publications report only limits on possible variations (see e.g. recent review [6]).

The hypothetical unification of all interactions implies that variation of the electromagnetic interaction constant α should be accompanied by the variation of masses and the strong interaction constant. Specific predictions need a model. For example, the grand unification model discussed in [7] predicts that the quantum chromodynamic (QCD) scale Λ_{QCD} (defined as the position of the Landau pole in the logarithm for the running strong coupling constant) is modified as follows: $\delta\Lambda_{QCD}/\Lambda_{QCD} \approx 34\delta\alpha/\alpha$. The variation of quark and electron masses in this model is given by $\delta m/m \sim 70\delta\alpha/\alpha$. This gives an estimate for the variation of the dimensionless ratio

$$\frac{\delta(m/\Lambda_{QCD})}{(m/\Lambda_{QCD})} \sim 35\frac{\delta\alpha}{\alpha} \tag{1}$$

The proton mass is proportional to Λ_{QCD} ($m_p \approx 3\Lambda_{QCD}$). Therefore, we have

$$\frac{\delta(m_e/m_p)}{(m_e/m_p)} \sim 35\frac{\delta\alpha}{\alpha} \tag{2}$$

This result is strongly model-dependent. However, the large coefficients in these expressions are generic for grand unification models, in which modifications come from high energy scales: they appear because the running strong coupling constant and Higgs constants (related to mass) run faster than α. This means that if these models are correct the variation of masses and strong interaction may be easier to detect than the variation of α.

One can measure only variation of the dimensionless quantities, therefore we want to extract from the measurements variation of the dimensionless ratio m_e/m_p. A number of limits on variation of m_e/m_p and m_q/Λ_{QCD} (where m_q is the light current quark mass with the dependence on the normalization point removed) have been obtained recently from consideration of Big Bang Nucleosynthesis, quasar absorption spectra and Oklo natural nuclear reactor which was active about 1.8 billion years ago [3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. The present day limits have been obtained from the atomic clocks experiments. The most recent results have been presented in [13], see also original measurements in [14].

In this letter, we propose a new approach to measure the variation of electron-to-proton mass ratio $\beta = m_e/m_p$ with extremely high sensitivity. We show that observables in atomic Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) and Feshbach molecules experiments can be extremely sensitive to β. This dependence can be understood as the molecular potential is essentially electronic, while the atomic mass is essentially baryonic. The high sensitivity results from the fact that the scattering length depends critically on the energy of the last bound state, while the variation of mass-ratio scales the overall vibrational energy, which lead to an stronger accumulative effect on the higher-lying bound states.

Recent experiments have well characterized the atomic scattering length based on the mean-field shifts in BECs, coherent atom-molecule coupling [15], and photo-association [16], Feshbach [17], and radio-frequency [18] spectroscopy. In these works, scattering lengths are typically determined to $\sim 1\%$ in the BEC-type experiments and to 10^{-4} in the molecular spectroscopy experiments. In this paper, we theoretically evaluate the enhancement factor K, defined as the ratio of the fractional change of the scattering length to that of β, namely,

$\delta a/a = K(\delta\beta/\beta)$. Our results show that huge enhancement factors of $K = 10^9 \sim 10^{12}$ can be obtained near narrow Feshbach resonances. This results can provide alternative stringent and independent tests on the variation of fundamental constants.

To quantify the dependence of scattering length on fundamental constants, we first consider a single-channel scattering model described by molecular potential $V(r)$ which at large distances approaches the van der Waals interaction $V(r) = -C_6/r^6$. Here r is the atomic separation and C_6 is the van der Waals coefficient. This potential very well characterizes the interaction of ultracold neutral atoms. Atomic s-wave scattering length a in this potential is given by [19]

$$a = \bar{a}[1 - \tan(\phi - \pi/8)], \tag{3}$$

where $\bar{a} = c(2\mu C_6/\hbar^2)^{1/4}$ is the mean scattering length, $c = 2^{-3/2}\Gamma(3/4)/\Gamma(5/4) \approx 0.47799$ is a constant, μ is the reduced mass of the two interacting atoms and ϕ is the scattering phase shift.

For most atoms, the associated molecular potential can support a large number of vibrational bound states and one can calculate the scattering phase shift semiclassically as [19]

$$\phi = \int_{r_i}^{\infty} \hbar^{-1}\sqrt{-2\mu V(r)}dr, \tag{4}$$

where r_i is the classical inner turning point at zero energy. The number of vibrational bound state is $N = [\phi/\pi + 3/8]$ [19], where $[x]$ is the integer part of x.

Equation (3) suggests that fundamental constants can influence the scattering length in two ways, either by varying the mean scattering length or by varying the scattering phase shift. Varying Eq. (3), we derive

$$\frac{\delta a}{a} = \frac{\delta\bar{a}}{\bar{a}} - \frac{(a-\bar{a})^2 + \bar{a}^2}{a\bar{a}}\delta\phi. \tag{5}$$

The scattering length has dimension of length, therefore, we have to specify units we will use. We are only interested in enhanced effects of the variation. In this case choice of units is not important since their variation can be neglected anyway. Note that the mean scattering length in Eq. (3) can be presented as $\bar{a} = const.\ \beta^{-1/4}a_B$ where a_B is the Bohr radius. The phase ϕ may be presented as $\phi = (A_N/\beta)^{1/2}\int\sqrt{-V(r)/E_H}d(r/a_B)$ where $E_H = \hbar^2/m_e a_B^2$ is the Hartree energy, A_N is the number of nucleons in the nucleus. Therefore, it is convenient to use atomic units. We normalize all lengths to a_B and energies to E_H. Performing variation of $\bar{a}$ and ϕ we obtain that in atomic units they depend on variation of the electron-to-proton mass ratio β only:

$$\frac{\delta\bar{a}}{\bar{a}} = -\frac{1}{4}\frac{\delta\beta}{\beta}, \quad \frac{\delta\phi}{\phi} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta\beta}{\beta}. \tag{6}$$

The variation of r_i disappears since the classical momentum $p = \sqrt{-2\mu V(r)}$ is zero at the turning point. In the derivation of the above equations, we have used $\delta(V/E_H) = 0$. Indeed, the molecular potential is determined by the Coulomb interaction between electrons and nuclei. Therefore, in the non-relativistic limit all parameters of this potential are proportional to the atomic units, there are no other natural units in this problem. The relativistic corrections bring some additional weak dependence on α (see e.g. [20]) which may be neglected here. Indeed, the relativistic corrections are of the order of $Z^2\alpha^2$ and relatively small. For Cs $Z^2\alpha^2 = 0.16$, for Li $Z^2\alpha^2 = 0.0005$ (here Z is the nuclear charge).

Combining Eqs. (5) and (6) and assuming the potential supports many bound states ($\phi \approx N\pi \gg \pi$), we obtain

$$\frac{\delta a}{a} = \frac{N\pi}{2}\frac{(a-\bar{a})^2 + \bar{a}^2}{a\bar{a}}\frac{\delta\beta}{\beta} = K\frac{\delta\beta}{\beta}, \tag{7}$$

where K is the desired enhancement factor.

Note that this equation may also be used to estimate the isotopic shift of the scattering length if it is small ($\delta\beta/\beta = (A_N - A'_N)/A_N$).

Two enhancement factors are identified here: one from the number of bound states N and one from the resonant behavior of the scattering length $((a-\bar{a})^2 + \bar{a}^2)/(a\bar{a})$. Note that we have large enhancement here in two limiting cases: very large a and very small a.

The first enhancement factor is larger for heavier atoms with deeper molecular potentials as well as higher density of states. For example, singlet potential of Cs$_2$ supports $N \approx 150$ vibrational states, while that of Li$_2$ only has $N = 38$ states.

More remarkably, when the scattering length is large compared the mean scattering length, the second enhancement factor approaches $a/\bar{a}$, which can be very large near a potential resonance. In this limit, the binding energy of the last molecular state is simply given by $E_b = \hbar^2/2\mu a^2$ (for $a > 0$). We can then write the variation of the binding energy in the threshold regime as

$$\frac{\delta E_b}{E_b} = -N\pi\frac{(a-\bar{a})^2}{a\bar{a}}\frac{\delta\beta}{\beta}. \tag{8}$$

Atoms at low temperatures constitute an ideal system to measure the scattering length since the scattering length is the only parameter which characterizes the interaction properties. In the case of cesium, the scattering length in the singlet potential has been determined to be $a = 280.37\ a_B$ with a relative accuracy of $\sim 10^{-4}$ [17]. Based on the number of Cs$_2$ vibrational states $N \approx 150$ and the mean scattering length of $\bar{a} = 95\ a_B$, Eq. (7) suggests an overall enhancement factor of $K \sim 400$.

Similar sensitivity of $\delta a/a = 2 \times 10^{-4}$ on the singlet molecular potential of lithium-6 atoms is also obtained recently [18]. Due to the relatively fewer molecular number of $N = 38$ and the small singlet scattering length of $a = 45.17\ a_B$, the enhancement factor is only $K = 62$.

For real atoms, the molecular potential is multi-channel in nature. This opens up new possibilities to modify the scattering lengths and to obtain higher enhancement factors. In particular, when a bound state in a closed channel is tuned close to the scattering state in the open channel, Feshbach coupling between the two channels can lead to a resonant enhancement of the scattering length according to

$$A = a(1 + \frac{\Delta E}{E_o - E_m}), \tag{9}$$

where A is the scattering length near the resonance, a is the off-resonant scattering length, ΔE characterizes the Feshbach coupling strength, and E_m is the energy of the bound state, which is supported by the closed channel. We assume that the long-range asymptotic of the the closed channel potential is $V_c = E_c - C_6/r^6$ and the asymptotic of open channel potential is $V_o = E_o - C_6/r^6$. Note that the Feshbach resonance may have a finite decay width Γ_m, therefore, the resonance energy denominator is better described as $E_o - E_m + i\Gamma_m/2$. However, the elastic width vanishes at zero kinetic energy of colliding particles and inelastic width is small. To simplify our consideration we will neglect Γ_m and other possible nonlinear effects in the channel coupling.

To determine the dependence of A on fundamental constants, we first assume that the molecular potentials of both channels and the Feshbach coupling are proportional to the atomic unit of energy, namely,

$$\delta \frac{E_c}{E_H} = \delta \frac{E_o}{E_H} = \delta \frac{\Delta E}{E_H} = 0 \tag{10}$$

This assumption needs corrections if ΔE contains the hyperfine interaction component (see the variation of the hyperfine interaction in [13]). However, near the resonance the weak dependence of ΔE on fundamental constants is relatively insignificant and may be neglected.

Variation of Eq. (9) then gives

$$\frac{\delta A}{A} = \frac{\delta a}{a} + \frac{A - a}{A} \frac{\delta E_m}{E_o - E_m}. \tag{11}$$

We note that the energy of the bound state E_m depends on the vibrational energy and therefore on the mass ratio. To estimate this dependence, we assume the molecular potential $V_c(r)$ supports many bound states, and we may use a WKB approximation to estimate the molecular energy E_m using equation

$$\phi_M = \int_{r_i}^{r_o} \hbar^{-1} \sqrt{2\mu(E_m - V_c(r))} dr = M\pi \tag{12}$$

where the integer M is the vibrational quantum number of the bound state and $r_i(r_o)$ is the classical inner(outer) turning point for a total energy of E_m.

Equation (12) relates E_m to the atomic mass m. Varying Eq. (12), we can relate the level shift to the variation of the mass ratio β. The result is

$$\delta E_m = -\frac{\phi_M}{2\pi\rho(E_m)} \frac{\delta\beta}{\beta} \tag{13}$$

where $\rho(E_m) = 1/D$ is the density of states at the energy E_m and can be estimated from the energy splitting D between adjacent vibrational levels.

Combining Eqs. (11-13) and using $\phi_M = M\pi \gg \pi$, we show that near a Feshbach resonance, the change of scattering length is dominated by the resonance term and can be written as

$$\frac{\delta A}{A} = \frac{M}{2} \frac{(A - a)^2}{Aa} \frac{1}{\rho(E_m)\Delta E} \frac{\delta\beta}{\beta}. \tag{14}$$

There are three enhancement factors in Eq. (14): the first one is the vibrational quantum number of the closed channel bound state M; the second one depends on the scattering length and approaches A/a near the resonance. These two factors resemble those from the single channel calculation, see Eq. (7). With the Feshbach resonance, however, one is given the flexibility to adjust the second enhancement factor. The third factor of $(\rho(E_m)\Delta E)^{-1} = D/\Delta E$ in Eq. (14) is a new effect. It shows that higher energy resolution can be obtained by using a narrower Feshbach resonance and larger level shifts occur when the closed channel has a larger vibrational state energy splitting D.

In the following, we take ultracold Li and Cs atoms as two examples to estimate the dependence of the molecular binding energy or the scattering length on the mass ratio. For both atomic species, multiple Feshbach resonances exist and the vibrational energy splittings in the highest singlet channel near the lowest triplet scattering threshold are $D = h$ 10∼20 GHz [21]. We will adopt $\rho = D^{-1} = (h\ 10\ \text{GHz})^{-1}$ in the following estimation.

For ^{6}Li, a broad resonance at 834 G with a width of 300 G (ΔE=h 840 MHz) and a background scattering length of a =-1405 a_B [18] can be a candidate to reach very large scattering lengths. This resonance is induced by a closed channel bound state with $M = 38$ and molecular binding energy has been measured to $\sim 1\%$ near this resonance [18]. This measurement is performed deep in the quantum threshold regime with scattering lengths up to $A \sim 1300\ a_B$. Here, we can rewrite Eq. (14) in terms of the threshold binding energy $E_b = \hbar^2/2\mu A^2$ as

$$\frac{\delta E_b}{E_b} = -M \frac{(A - a)^2}{Aa} \frac{1}{\rho(E_m)\Delta E} \frac{\delta\beta}{\beta}. \tag{15}$$

Based on the Li parameters, we calculate the total enhancement to be $K = -38 \times (-4) \times 12 = 1824$, which is indeed much larger than the single channel result we obtained. The 1% binding energy measurement thus translates into a mass ratio measurement with an accuracy of 5×10^{-6}.

Feshbach molecules of ^{6}Li are also observed near a narrow resonance at 546 G with a width of 0.1 G (ΔE=h 0.28 MHz) [22]. This resonance is induced by the same vibrational manifold with $M = 38$ and the background scattering length is $a = 62\ a_B$. A measurement similar to [18] should be possible at $A = 620\ a_B$, where we expect the enhancement factor to be as large as $K = -38 \times 8.1 \times 36,000 \approx -10^7$.

An extreme case would be the narrow g-wave Feshbach resonances observed in ^{133}Cs, which are induced by molecular states with four units of angular momentum. These resonances have a typical resonance width of $\sim$ 5 mG (ΔE=h 3.5 kHz) [23] and can be induced by states in the closed singlet channel with $M \sim 140$. Since the threshold regimes of these resonances are expected to be too narrow to access experimentally, we return to Eq. (14) and calculate the variation of the scattering length. Assuming a scattering length measurement is performed at $A = 1000\ a_B$ near a narrow resonance and the background scattering length is 160 a_B, we find the overall enhancement factor is as large as $K = 70 \times (4.8) \times (3 \times 10^6) \approx 10^9$.

High resolution measurement near these narrow resonances is, however, non-trivial since it requires excellent magnetic field stability. In the above example, a magnetic field precision of 1 mG is required to reach $A = 1000\ a_B$ and a field instability and inhomogeneity of 0.01 mG are necessary to determine A to below 1%. Since an instability of 1 mG has been achieved near a narrow resonance [24] without magnetic field shielding, we are optimistic that 0.01 mG instability can be achieved. If so, a 1% measurement on the scattering length can be translated into a sensitive test of the mass ratio variation on the level of $|\delta\beta/\beta| \sim 10^{-11}$.

Another possibility to obtain large enhancement factors is by optical Feshbach resonances [25, 26]. In this case, the coupling strength can be externally controlled, and the bound state can be selected for higher sensitivity on the mass ratio and very weak dependence on the magnetic field. Using the two-photon version of the optical Feshbach resonance for higher frequency resolution (100 Hz frequency resolution was reported in Ref. [16]), we expect a coupling strength of ΔE =h 5 Hz can be achieved, which, in the case of cesium, can provide a large enhancement factor of $K \sim 10^{12}$ and a test of β on the 10^{-14} level. Furthermore, the optical method allows one to probe molecular states with different dependence on β. This can provide significant reduction of systematic effects.

We thank Paul Julienne, David deMille and Jun Ye for stimulating discussions. V.F. is supported by the Australian Research Council. C.C. acknowledges support from the Chicago Material Research Center.

---

[1] J-P. Uzan, Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 403 (2003);

[2] M.T.Murphy, J.K. Webb, V.V. Flambaum. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 345, 609-638 (2003).

[3] V.F. Dmitriev, V.V. Flambaum, J.K. Webb, Phys. Rev. D69, 063506 (2004).

[4] S K Lamoreaux and J R Torgerson *Phys. Rev. D* **69** 121701(R) (2004).

[5] A. Ivanchik, P. Petitjean, D. Aracil, R. Strianand, H. Chand, C. Ledoux, P. Boisse *Astron. Astrophys.* **440** , 45 (2005).

[6] S.G. Karshenboim, V.V. Flambaum, E. Peik. Atomic clocks and constraints on variations of fundamental constants. In "Handbook of atomic, molecular and optical physics" (Ed. G.W.F. Drake, Springer 2005), Chapter 30, pp.455-463; arXiv:physics/0410074.

[7] P. Langacker, G. Segre and M.J. Strassler, Phys. Lett. B 528, 121 (2002); See also X. Calmet and H. Fritzsch, Eur. Phys. J, C24,639 (2002), W.J. Marciano, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 489 (1984).

[8] V.V. Flambaum, E.V. Shuryak, Phys. Rev. D**65**, 103503 (2002).

[9] K.A. Olive *et al*, Phys. Rev. D66, 045022 (2002).

[10] V.F. Dmitriev, V.V. Flambaum, Phys. Rev. D67,063513 (2003).

[11] V.V. Flambaum, E.V. Shuryak, Phys. Rev. D67,083507 (2003).

[12] P. Tzanavaris, J.K. Webb, M.T. Murphy, V.V. Flambaum, and S.J. Curran. Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 , 041301 , 2005.

[13] V.V. Flambaum, A.F. Tedesco, nucl-th/0601050.

[14] H. Marion *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 150801 (2003). S. Bize *et al.*, arXiv:physics/0502117v1. J.D. Prestage, R.L. Tjoelker and L. Maleki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 3511 (1995). S. Bize *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 150802 (2003). M. Fischer *et al.* arXiv:physics/0311128. E. Peik, B. Lipphardt, H. Schnatz, T. Schneider, Chr. Tamm, S.G. Karshenboim, arXiv:physics/0504101.

[15] N. R. Claussen, S. J. J. M. F. Kokkelmans, S. T. Thompson, E. A. Donley, E. Hodby, and C. E. Wieman Phys. Rev. A **67**, 060701(R) (2003).

[16] R. Wynar, R.S. Freeland, D.J. Han, C. Ryu, D.J. Heinzen Science **287**, 1016 (2000).

[17] C. Chin, V. Vuletic, A.J. Kerman, S. Chu, E. Tiesinga, P.J. Leo, and C.J. Williams Phys. Rev. A **70**, 032701 (2004)

[18] M. Bartenstein et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 103201 (2005).

[19] G.F. Gribakin and V.V. Flambaum, Phys. Rev. A **48**, 546 (1993).

[20] V. A. Dzuba, V.V. Flambaum, J. K. Webb , Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 888, 1999; Phys. Rev. A**59**, 230, 1999.

[21] P.S. Julienne, private communication.

[22] K.E. Strecker, G.B. Partridge, and R.G. Hulet, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 080406 (2003)

[23] J. Herbig, T. Kraemer, M. Mark, T. Weber, C. Chin, H.-C. Nägerl, and R. Grimm, Science **301**, 1510 (2003).

[24] M. Mark, T. Kraemer, J. Herbig, C. Chin, H.-C. Någerl, and R. Grimm, Europhys. Lett. **69**, 706 (2005)

[25] P.O. Fedichev, Yu. Kagan, G.V. Shlyapnikov, and J.T.M. Walraven, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 2913 (1996)

[26] M. Theis, G. Thalhammer, K. Winkler, M. Hellwig, G.

Ruff, R. Grimm, and J. Hecker Denschlag Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 123001 (2004)

[27] K. Winkler, G. Thalhammer, M. Theis, H. Ritsch, R. Grimm, and J. Hecker Denschlag Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 063202 (2005)